A Mesoscopic Electromechanical Theory of Ferroelectric Films and Ceramics
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Abstract. We present a multi-scale modelling framework to predict the effective electromechanical behavior of ferroelectric ceramics and thin films. This paper specifically focuses on the mesoscopic scale and models the effects of domains and domain switching taking into account intergranular constraints. Starting from the properties of the single crystal and the pre-poling granular texture, the theory predicts the domain patterns, the post-poling texture, the saturation polarization, saturation strain and the electromechanical moduli. We demonstrate remarkable agreement with experimental data with no adjustable parameters. The theory also explains the superior electromechanical property of PZT at the morphotropic phase boundary. The paper concludes with the application of the theory to predict the optimal texture for enhanced electromechanical coupling factors and high-strain actuation in selected materials.

INTRODUCTION

It has recently been recognized that it is possible to obtain large actuation strains in ferroelectric single crystals through polarization rotation [1, 2], or by domain switching as a result of applied electric field and mechanical stress [3, 4]. However, large strains cannot usually be obtained in a polycrystalline ferroelectrics due to the incompatibility between neighboring grains. So the identification of the optimal texture of polycrystals for high-strain actuation is a worthwhile challenge. A related problem is the poling of the piezoelectric ceramic PZT, which is a solid solution of Lead Titanate and Lead Zirconate. The Titanium rich compositions where the material is tetragonal, and the Zirconium rich compositions where the material is rhombohedral are both very difficult to pole, while the material is dramatically easy to pole at the 'morphotropic phase boundary' [5]. An understanding of this difference is also desirable.

This work examines the macroscopic behaviors of ferroelectric solids in terms of the crystal systems and texture, using the homogenization theory and energy minimization following the framework used by Bhattacharya and Kohn [6] to study polycrystalline shape-memory alloys. The post-poling texture, spontaneous strain and polarization, and the effective electromechanical moduli for polycrystalline ferroelectrics are characterized, and remarkable agreement with experimental data with no adjustable parameters has been obtained. The theory also identifies the optimal texture for high-actuation strain and enhanced electromechanical coupling for polycrystals, and explains the optimal electro-mechanical property of PZT at the morphotropic phase boundary. The paper
summarizes the main ideas, and the reader is referred to Li and Bhattacharya [7] for details.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

The Effective Electroelastic Energy

Consider a polycrystalline ferroelectric \( \Omega \) subject to an applied traction \( t_0 \) on part of its boundary \( \partial_2 \Omega \) and external applied electric field \( E_0 \). The displacement \( u \) and polarization \( p \) of the ferroelectric are those that minimize the potential energy

\[
\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \nabla p \cdot A \nabla p + W(x, e[u], p) - E_0 \cdot p d\mathbf{x} - \int_{\partial_2 \Omega} t_0 \cdot u dS + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 d\mathbf{x}.
\]

(1)

Above, \( A \) is a positive-definite matrix so that the first term above penalizes sharp changes in the polarization, and may be regarded as the energetic cost of forming domain walls. The second term \( W \) is the stored energy density (Landau energy density) which depends on the state variables or order parameters, strain \( e \) and polarization \( p \), and also explicitly on position \( x \) in polycrystals. \( W \) encodes all the crystallographic and texture information. The third term is the potential associated with the applied electric field, while the fourth term is the potential energy associated with the applied mechanical load. The final term is the electrostatic field energy that is generated by the polarization distribution. For any polarization distribution, the electrostatic potential \( \phi \) is determined by solving Maxwell equation in all space subject to appropriate boundary conditions. Thus, this last term is a non-local. This potential is essentially one used in the classical Landau-Ginzburg-Devonshire theory, except the electrostatic contribution to energy is accounted for with some care.

Minimizing (1) over all possible displacements \( u \) and polarization \( p \) leads to the formation of domains in each grain [4]. If \( |A| \) is very small (specifically if the square-root of the largest eigenvalue of \( A \) is small compared to the grain size), then the domains are much smaller than the grains and we may set \( A = 0 \) in (1) in order to determine the nature of the domain patterns and the effective energy [8]. Henceforth, we make this assumption.

The functional (1) (with \( A = 0 \)) describes all the details of the domain pattern in each grain, and thus is rather difficult to understand. It would be very useful to obtain a functional that directly captures the effective macroscopic behavior of all the domains and grains without describing all the details. Suppose the texture of polycrystal is periodic with period \( \varepsilon > 0 \). Then, as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \), the effective behavior of the polycrystal is described by the effective functional [7]

\[
\int_{\Omega} \bar{W}(e[u], p) - E_0 \cdot p d\mathbf{x} - \int_{\partial_2 \Omega} t_0 \cdot u dS + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 d\mathbf{x}.
\]

(2)

Note that we have replaced \( W \) in (1) with \( \bar{W} \) which does not explicitly depend on position \( x \). \( \bar{W}(e, p) \) is the average stored energy in the ferroelectric ceramic with average
polarization \( p \) and average strain \( e \) after taking into account the domain patterns and the grains. We therefore call it the effective energy density, and is characterized by the variational formula,

\[
\bar{W}(e, p) = \inf_k \inf_{e' = 0 < p'} = 0 \left( \frac{1}{kQ} \int W(y, e + \nabla u', p + p') + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} |\nabla \phi'|^2 \right) dy. \tag{3}
\]

We can evaluate this in two steps. Heuristically, we can first take the effect of domain patterns into account and define an effective energy density of the single crystal \( \tilde{W} \). We can then average over the grains to obtain \( \bar{W} \). Mathematically, we first apply the formula (3) but with \( y \) fixed in the argument of \( W \) to obtain \( \tilde{W} \). This is the effective energy of a single crystal. We then evaluate (3) with \( W \) replaced with \( \tilde{W} \).

This is unfortunately too complicated to evaluate explicitly. We can do the first step in some important cases, but we use bounds to estimate the second. Of particular interest is those states that make \( \bar{W} = 0 \). These are all possible remnant polarizations and remnant strains of ferroelectric ceramic, and the extreme points of this set are the saturation polarizations and saturation strains. We call our estimate of this set the Taylor estimate \( \mathbb{Z}^T \). We refer the reader to Li and Bhattacharya [7] for details.

**Texture and Effective Moduli**

Taylor estimate can not only estimate the remnant and saturation states in ferroelectric ceramics, but also provide valuable information on their texture. The orientation of grains in a ferroelectric ceramic could be described by \( \theta_g \), the angle between the global poling axis of ceramic and the local crystallographical axis of grains. Within each grains there are numerous domains whose orientation can be described by \( \theta_d(\theta_g) \), the angle between the polarization direction of domains and the poling direction of ceramic, which depends on the grain’s orientation \( \theta_g \) through crystallographic constraints. The statistical distribution of \( \theta_g \) and \( \theta_d \) are described by the orientation distribution functions (ODF) \( G(\theta_g) \) and \( D(\theta_d) \), which gives the probability of finding a grain or a domain in a particular orientation. In the as-processed states, both grains and domains are randomly oriented, so that \( G \) and \( D \) are uniform and independent on \( \theta_g \) and \( \theta_d \), and the ceramic is isotropic and non-piezoelectric. The poling process, where a high electric field is applied to ceramics at elevated temperature, tends to realign the polarization direction of domains as closely as possible to the applied field, thus changes the orientation distribution of domains, \( D(\theta_d') \), but does not change the orientation distribution of grains, \( G(\theta_g) \). The change in orientation distribution of domains leads to macroscopic piezoelectricity in ceramics, which we analyze here.

The actual orientation distribution of domains in a poled ferroelectric ceramic is rather difficult to determine, but could be fairly well approximated by Taylor estimate. In another word, saturation state in ferroelectric ceramics is characterized by Taylor estimate as \( (e^s, p^s) \), which is also the saturation strain and polarization in all grains. This leads to

\[
\sum_{i=1,n} f_i'(\theta_g)e_i'(\theta_g) = e^s, \quad \sum_{i=1,n} f_i'(\theta_g)p_i'(\theta_g) = p^s, \tag{4}
\]
where \( f_i, \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{p}_i \) are the volume fraction, transformation strain, and transformation polarization of variant \( i \) in grains at orientation \( \theta_g \), respectively. The orientation of variant \( i, \theta_i \), could be uniquely determined from crystallography. The equation can be solved to determine \( f_i(\theta_g) \), which, in combination with \( G(\theta_g) \) and crystallographic constraints, provides \( D(\theta_d) \).

With the orientation distribution of domains in a ferroelectric ceramics determined from Taylor estimate, we can predict the effective linear constitutive moduli of ferroelectric ceramics using a self-consistent approach [9, 10]. The key step in estimating the effective moduli of a heterogeneous ferroelectric is to estimate the average electromechanical fields in the ferroelectric, a procedure requiring the determination of local electromechanical fields in domains, and orientational averaging of local electromechanical fields over all domains and grains. The self-consistent approach is applied to estimate the local electromechanical fields in a domain by an effective medium approximation, where the domain is assumed to be embedded in an infinite homogeneous medium with yet to be determined effective moduli. The electromechanical field in this domain could be determined by Eshelby’s equivalent inclusion concept [11], which depends on the effective moduli, thus usually requires iteration. The Gauss quadrature method [12] is applied to carry out the numerical integration in orientational averaging. The detail of numerical implementation could be found in [10].

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Saturation Strain and Polarization**

We now substantiate our theory by a few case studies. We first consider the polarizability of ferroelectric ceramics, and their saturation strain and polarization.

Consider a ferroelectric material such as BaTiO\(_3\) that is cubic above the Curie temperature and tetragonal with \( \langle 001 \rangle_c \) polarization at room temperature. If a polycrystal does not have a strong \( \langle 001 \rangle_c \) texture (i.e., if all grains do not have a common pseudocubic axis), the inter-granular constraints are so strong that they prevent any macroscopic saturation strain. Further, the saturation polarization is only a third of single crystal value, compared to previously estimated 83% without constraint [5]. In BaTiO\(_3\) where the spontaneous polarization is 26\( \mu \)C/cm\(^2\) in a single crystal [13], this translates to 8.67\( \mu \)C/cm\(^2\), in excellent agreement with experimental observed 8\( \mu \)C/cm\(^2\) [13], and in sharp contrast to previous prediction of 21.6\( \mu \)C/cm\(^2\). Finally, such a polycrystal would be difficult to pole, and will show little macroscopic strain through domain switching. When the polycrystal has \( \langle 001 \rangle_c \) texture, then it can have a saturation strain and polarization almost equal to that of a single crystal. This is consistent with reported saturation polarization as high as 25\( \mu \)C/cm\(^2\) in BaTiO\(_3\) thin film of 1\( \mu \)m thick [14]. When the film thickness increases to 2\( \mu \)m, it drops to about 7\( \mu \)C/cm\(^2\). In summary, it is much easier to pole a tetragonal polycrystal with a \( \langle 001 \rangle_c \) texture; this texture is also optimal for large strain actuation.

Rhombohedral systems with \( \langle 111 \rangle_c \) polarization are qualitatively similar. Random rhombohedral polycrystals can not have any saturation strain, and the saturation polar-
TABLE 1. Saturation strain and polarization of random ferroelectric polycrystals; $p$: $\mu$C/cm$^2$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>$p'$ (predicted)</th>
<th>$p'$ (measured)</th>
<th>$e'$ (predicted)</th>
<th>$e'$ (measured)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BaTiO$_3$ (T)</td>
<td>8.67</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PZN-PT (R)</td>
<td>8 [13]</td>
<td>35.1 [15]</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.025% [15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PZT (MPB)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.18% [1]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ization is only $1/\sqrt{2}$ of the single crystal value, compared with previous estimated 87% [5]. This is confirmed in PZN-PT solid solution where saturation strain of 32.6$\mu$C/cm$^2$ is predicted in ceramics since the single crystal value is 46.1$\mu$C/cm$^2$ [15], in excellent agreement with experimental measured 35.1$\mu$C/cm$^2$ [15]. For the same ceramic, the saturation strain under electric field is measured to be 0.025%, one order of magnitude smaller than that observed in PZT at MPB.

PZT at MPB is the most interesting system from both practical and theoretical points of view. It was originally believed that tetragonal and rhombohedral phases co-exist at this phase boundary, but it was recently recognized that it could actually be a monoclinic phase [16]. Our results predict 0.2% strain in a soft PZT, in excellent agreement with observed 0.18% in PZT-5H [1]. All the results on the saturation strain and polarization of different polycrystalline systems are summarized in Table 1.

### The Effective Electroelastic Moduli

Next let us consider the effective electromechanical moduli of a poled piezoelectric ceramic, for example, tetragonal BaTiO$_3$. From the Taylor estimate, the ODF of domains in a poled ferroelectric ceramic composed of tetragonal grains is given by

$$D(\theta_d) = \frac{1 + \cos(\theta_d)}{2},$$

which in combination with the self-consistent approach yields the effective piezoelectric constants in reasonable agreement with experiment, see Table 2. The single crystal value is obtained from [17], and experimental data for ceramic is obtained from [18].

It is worthwhile to notice that the measured elastic moduli in poled BaTiO$_3$ demonstrates very weak anisotropy, despite strong anisotropy in elastic moduli of single crystalline BaTiO$_3$. This suggests that majority of domain switching is 180 degree in nature, which does not change the isotropic elastic symmetry of ceramics. This is consistent with Taylor estimate. Similar observation could also be made on the dielectric constants, which has even stronger anisotropy in single crystal. The prediction usually overestimates the measured experimental values, which we believe is due to the neglecting of the effects of voids and cracks in ceramics.
TABLE 2. The effective piezoelectric and dielectric constants of poled barium titanate ceramic; $e_{ij}$: C/m$^2$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$e_{31}$</th>
<th>$e_{33}$</th>
<th>$e_{15}$</th>
<th>$\kappa_{11}/\kappa^0$</th>
<th>$\kappa_{33}/\kappa^0$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>estimated</td>
<td>-3.28</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>6.68</td>
<td>1604</td>
<td>1627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measured</td>
<td>-4.4</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>1266</td>
<td>1420</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Films with Fiber Texture

We are particularly interested in the effective moduli of piezoelectric polycrystals with fiber texture. They have the best polarizability, and thus could offer the best electromechanical coupling. In Figure 1 we present the electromechanical coupling coefficients $k_{31}$, $k_{33}$, and $k_{15}$ of BaTiO$_3$ single crystals and polycrystals with different textures. We assume all domains are switched to be as closely as possible to the poling direction in a ‘fully poled’ ceramics, and only 180 degree domains are switched in a ‘partially poled’ ceramics. The corresponding ODF for domains, as a result, is uniform for $\theta_d \in [0, \pi/4]$ and $\theta_d \in [0, \pi/2]$, respectively, and zero elsewhere. We notice that in all systems, the polycrystals with $<001>_{c}$ fiber texture have the same ($k_{33}$ and $k_{15}$) or higher ($k_{31}$) coupling coefficients as single crystals, consistent with the exact relationship derived in [19]. It suggests that fiber texture is also optimal for electromechanical coupling.

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have presented an effective electromechanical theory of polycrystalline ferroelectrics which takes into account both the effect of domains and grains, and gives remarkably good predictions on the polarizability, saturation polarization and strain, the effective texture, and the effective piezoelectric constants of ferroelectric polycrystals.
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FIGURE 1. The effective electromechanical coupling coefficients of barium titanate ceramics with fiber texture